



CAPTIVE Project

SENIOR EXPERT NOTE n° 11

Purpose of this note : **CAPTIVE project in its whole**

Context

Agreement number	JUST/2015/RDAP/AG/VICT/9243
Project Title	Cultural Agent – Promoting & Targeting Interventions vs Violence & Enslavement (CAPTIVE)
Name of the Beneficiary/Coordinator	Università ta' Malta

Main achievement of the project

The first phase of the project - WS1 - ANALYSIS AND IDENTIFICATION OF GOOD PRACTICE is finalised. These included a research draft from all partner countries; research activities - both desk based and interviews with women victims of Sexual/Gender Based violence and with service providers; preliminary report on findings; the developing of the training courses - both the ethno-cultural course and the course for capacity building for professionals and good practice sharing. The second phase of the project - WS2 - CAPACITY BUILDING AND TRAINING ACTIVITIES has started. Workshops have started in some partner countries, others have planned them for December or January. The Ethno-cultural Agent course (AcE) has started in Italy and Germany. While the online professional course is being recorded.

Furthermore some partners continued to identify associate partners and to offer them the possibility of joining the project. This has the effect of rendering the project's life dynamic, increasing the possibility of more contacts with immigrants.

Ethical issues

The only ethical issues, were encountered in the research phase by the University of Coventry and the University of Malta. Both universities have a research ethical clearance procedure that demands that before any research that involved living subjects is done, ethical clearance must be sought. This is a normal procedure, were some time is always lost. The ethics forms were duly filled, submitted and approved. There were no major issues involved in this phase. The other countries did not need ethical clearance.



PROJECT INTERIM REPORT

Workstream / Activities

Implemented activities

1. 2 international Meetings - one in Malta and one in Italy (as planned)
2. 8 Skype meeting - attended by all partner countries
3. Questionnaire for each partner at the end of each partner meeting.
4. Creation of Logo
5. Press releases and Blog Article
6. Creation of Website (partially delayed)

Activities delayed or not implemented

1. Monthly questionnaires for each partner to evaluate activities done - These activities have been partially implemented. Evaluation activities are ongoing, but they have not been done monthly.
2. On-line Platform made up of two areas with section dedicated

Public area: activity on the project; results of the project; interactive forum ; On-line Help-service for: a space where victims or potential victims could ask for a help filling an anonymous form. The request will be satisfied by the project staff. They will support victims and, if they would proceed with it, handle the referral to the properly support or legal service.

Private area: documents; working in progress area; update data, chat; instant messaging

Website will be in English and French

The website will be available also for Smartphone and iPhone4 Social network account – LinkedIn, Facebook, twitter, Instagram

Website has been created but is still not on-line. The social network accounts and smartphone applications still not active, mainly due to the fact that the first year has been a preparation for activities.



The expert senior evaluation work was initially based on:

- 6 national studies realised by each partner of the project and the transversal analysis done by UK partner ;
- 2 local meetings with professionals from service providers realised by each partner;
- Contents of an on-line course (30 hours) for professionals. (All partners except Germany, even if France was not concerned at the beginning too but was asked to bring also contribution during Marsala meeting 06/2017) ;
- 9 storytelling (women victims of violence) realised by 3 partners (DE-IT-MT) ;
- Contents of three 150hour-courses developed for the training of Ethno-Cultural Agent (DE-IT-MT) ;
- 3 public interventions (2 hours each) for the prevention of violence against women. (by ES – FR – UK).
- 6 ending conferences at a common time with videoconference.

Added elements

- Evaluations realised by the junior expert (evaluation on progress and sustainability)
- Minutes and Action notes after meetings issued by the project coordinator (MT)
- Documentation on organisation of seminars and presentations by partners;
- Website <https://captive.euro-cides.eu/>

Items expected by the evaluator

- Global presentation of the courses,
- Summary of the contents,
- Planning for courses, attendance sheets and profiles of trainers
- Contents of each course
- Tools used during courses
- Evaluation of courses by the trainees and trainers

The evaluation work had to be done from December 01, 2017 to November 30, 2018 for a total of 399 hours max.



Results

Ten thematic Expert notes were realised edited based on received elements (plus this last n° 11)

Note n° 1	6 country reports	April 24
Note n° 2	Meeting in Sevilla	May 06
Note n° 3	Cross-national findings on experiences of sexual and gender-based violence among migrant women based on the 6 country reports	May 06
Note n° 4	Countries of origin profiles	July 18
Note n° 5	Indian story' video in its Version n° 2	July 16
Note n° 6	30hour-on distance course for profesionnals including subject on Routes Journey of migration	July 16
Note n° 7	Organisation and contents of CAPTIVE Meetings	September 26
Note n° 8	Website in its Version n° 2	September 26
Note n° 9	9 Storytelling	November 09
Note n° 10	3 x 150hour-course for Ethno-Cultural Agent	November 21

Reminder about recommandations

Expert note n° 1 : [Evaluation of 6 country reports \(with Annex\)](#)

Hence for the interpretation and comprehension of the different elements of the project, it is essential to introduce the report with methodology.

Most of the countries did present a list and description of documents; this can constitute a solid jumping-off platform for the next part of the project.

Globaly the methodologies are coherent from country to country and in alignment with the project's goals.

The litterature review constitutes a support for the national context and precisely for the local context.



Even if the presentations are not homogeneous, their contents offer a qualitative source of intrainings which constitute a strong support for a cross-sectional analysis. Several topics are common, as language, accessibility, adaptability of the structure, and physical, psychological consequence for the women. The synthesis of this literature review will be very interesting and will highlight this terrible situation of women but also the specificity of each country in the positive proposition. The pooling of all the different propositions for this delicate subject will give an innovative structure for trying to contribute, to improve the tracking, prevention and care.

The part Context in the country presented a detailed description of what they offer in order to understand a) the process or helping women obtain access to expression and support, and/or b) barriers to these.

For example, France, Germany, Spain or Italy (filled in second time by MT and UK) present detailed description of the local organisations women can use and specific details of the system.

The precision of these description is of the utmost importance, because they offer a reflexion on the needs for a functional system of services. All of the reports accurately depict the level of emergency and the scale of these problems as they relate to migration, fundamental elements for this project.

It could be interesting to organise all the reports with the national context presentation in the first part, followed by the local context.

The reports from the different countries offer very detailed intraining --extracted from literature reviews, guidelines or edited brochures like in Germany-- that help create a foundation for sharing good practices.

The specificity of the different country contexts allow us to clearly see that not only the situation of migrant women are similar, but also the limits of the organization are similar.

The description of the organisations and tools used in the different country systems are incredibly valuable and need to be shared in order to present basic elements needed for the optimal organization. These can be found in all of the different reports.

The second part of the global project will offer even more important elements needed to optimize them going forward.



Each country gives precisely the types and forms of sexual and gender-based violence against migrants and refugees women. Their reports clearly show that the cybtry of origin country is a specific element to be taken into account for each of the host countries. The most fundamental piece these reports demonstraste is the fact that the fondamental problems experienced by the migrants women, as well as by the professionnals are based on similar problems.

The next step of the project has a qualitative database to build a very interesting synthesis.

Those different countries reports offer a very interesting European context for understanding the sexual and gender based violence perpetrated against women or female-gendered migrants and refugees.

The reports reveal the extreme difficulties - as much physical as psychological- these women experience while also showing the extreme difficulties countries have in reaching them, caring for them and proposing an appropriate offer adapted to their specific needs.

Few of the structures are efficient in giving that appropriately adapted offer. When they do exist as is the case in Germany it will be important to understand what drives the low level of recourse to these structures. Conversely we will need to understand other perspectives for structures that are overwhelmed by demand.

In both cases, the questions will need be addressed on two levels

- Macro: global context, offer, training level of all concerned professionnals, geopolitical context, and
- Micro: quality of professional trainings, access to translations or interpreters, respect of confidentiality, types of therapy, etc.

The synthesis of the six reports will be a very cogent basis for the international community to reflect and construct effective strategies and recommendations.

On the basis of these reports, this project will constitue an innovative element to help in the the response that **MUST** be offered to victims and potential victims of sexual and gender based violence.



For proposal, it could have been interesting to organise all the reports with a similar formal structure :

- 1) Title, with the indication of the institution (university or association) and name and fonction of the researchers, writers
- 2) Littel presentation of how is the institution, her work with the subject and the modality of contact they have (associations, government institutions, ...)
- 3) Summary
- 4) National context
- 5) Local context
- 6) Litterature review
- 7) Qualitative study (if done)
- 8) Global conclusion-abstract
- 9) Bibliography
- 10) Appendices with professionnall supports (intraining brochures, guidelines, ...)

In line with announced objectifs, the propositions were :

Germany give a proposition with the presentation of practical guides; this proposition could be realised by all the countries and developped

With the exception of Germany, the countries did not offer any practical tool that already existed in their country.

For the third and fourth point of the goals, the creation of a practical guide could be very interesting for professionals for sure but also for trainees, even if this production was not an attended result from the application.



Expert note n° 2 : [Observations during Meeting in Sevilla \(04.2018\)](#)

The evaluator only attended this 3th project meeting to observe content/exchanges as well as to realise interviews with local coordinators and representatives from each country.

It gave an overview of the professional profil of each expert and organization as the profile of the different partners was different :

1. **National Association specific for migrants** in Italia (A.N.F.E. Associazione Nazionale Famiglie Emigrati)
2. **Social development institution** in France (Euro-CIDES Association Euro-Compétences et Initiatives pour le Développement de l'Entrepreneuriat Solidaire)
3. **Universities** for England (Coventry University), Spain (Universidad de Sevilla) and Malta (Università ta' Malta) as lead partner
4. **Public institution** for Germany (Justizvollzugsanstalt Zweibrücken) which works in prisons and with migrants.

The recommendations were proposed, particularly the presentation of the structure, the members of the team, the social and professional network , and the practical guide.

The recommendations of the evaluator were accepted by the teams of all the countries: harmonize the supports, for the reports, include a presentation of the teams and structures networks, a summary, table of contents, and some technical supports like in the German report.

The process of discussion between the project members was well organised and efficient.

Concerning the digital platform the recommendation was that it needs to be accessible to the public. A discussion about S.O.S. phone number on the digital platform and the social networks means that it will be used by the public but the form of the digital platform was not compatible at that period (April 2018) with this use.



Expert note n° 3 : Cross national findings on experiences of sexual and gender-based violence among migrant women from 6 European reports

We observe a very interesting quality in report and PPT presentation.

The powerpoint presentation was very useful to understand the way the work was organised. This form can be developed for the public restitutions. It is important to integrate visual support for the public accessibility of this essential subject. Everybody must have access to the results and be impacted.

Both of work present a very fine analyse of the situation in the 6 European countries. The emergency situation of the women and the insufficient supply are highlighted, with a qualitative presentation.

The proposition was: It would be interesting to join on more part with professional supports and experience Germany that did a very interesting work which is not presented in this report. All countries have supports, from ministry, or association. They constitute a very important element for the next part of the project. A revue of this support is absolutely necessary in the objective of the project.

Expert note n°4 : Countries of origin profiles

Detailed recommendations were written for each country.

For all, the notices were to introduce systematically all sources and to revise :

- Title: cultural traditions and gender and family relations and be attentive with the contents.
- Order of presentation of the parts
- Global contents to have something more harmonised (with the limits of course of the intraining access which is various between countries)
- Contents for taking out all the intraining which are subjective or include judgment or prejudices



Expert note n° 5 : [Video of the Indian tale](#)

[Video](#)

After being revised, this video in its V2 is now really interesting as support of discussion for prevention activities that will be held in future by ES – FR and UK. The rythm is well adapted, and it stopped at the right moment.

Expert note n° 6 : [30-hour course for professionals from service providers in open learning](#)

Each step of the course received specific remarks and indications.

For all the courses remarks :

The global programme is very well done and the contents are very qualitative and complete. Just some points can be introduced for completing the quality and one course need to be reworked.

The programme requires more than 30 hours to be done, with the readings, because of its extrem wealth. It is a very complete content.

One important notice : the course is in English. In several countries, not all profesionnals are able to attend a course in English as, for exemple France.

It is important that, after the implementation expected with numerative goals during the project, all publics can have access to this training course without too much constraints. For that, we will propose to lead project to imagine a second „slight” version without validation where anyone can go to collect data and knowledge without being obliged to go through, step after step.

Some details

Add a link (**like the link** „Click [here](#) to access the lecturer's list of publications ». but with « to access to the list of publications »,.



Expert note n° 7 : Organisation and contents of SKYPE meetings

The evaluation was realised with reports on following SKYPE meetings dated:

- 16.02.2017
- 15.03.2017
- 26.04.2017
- 18.01.2018
- 09.03.2018
- 25.06.2018

Conclusion

The regularity of SKYPE meetings is an important part of the work, and was very well planned in this programme. The different documents give a simple vision of the evolution of the programme. We can't see the difficulties and propositions in this work. It seems that everything was simple to organise between partners. It would have been interesting to have also the transcription of some difficulties and how they were taken into account, for experiences in other projects.

We can see the process of the construction and regular evolution on the project with the participation of all the partners.

We can see the good consensus between the partners, with always an attention of the appropriate choice in the different context to have a quality of contents and in goals.

Some adaptation were done without negativ impact on the project.

The intraining given at the meeting dated June 2018 are specific and din't offer elements to the evaluator for giving more intraining about the goal. They are well plan but without contents given to the evaluator. The evaluator wait for elements and conclusions from Germany physical meeting, the work which has been done, as well as tasks for the last next months.



The evaluator did ask for a calendar to be able to follow the evolution. France sent this support to MT in August then presented it during last meeting ; it's also downloadable from CAPTIVE website. It appears that some countries didn't complete the intraining. For exemple, 1st line we can't see the date of delivery from 3 countries. It would have been important to do so because it could be one reason among others to know why the cross country report was delivered in May 2018 instead of June 2017. This tool could have been very interesting.



CAPTIVE/JUST/2015/RDAP/AG/VICT/9243



Chronogram of CAPTIVE evaluations

Expected date to submit works to project coordinator as planned in the application	Tasks to be assessed	Actual dates of delivery of documents						Explanations on gaps <i>(Thanks to mention the acronym of your country when starting)</i>	Senior expert / MQ	
		DE	ES	FR	IT	MT	UK		Expert Note n°	Date
06.2017	6 national reports (DE - ES - FR - IT - MT - UK)	05.2017		06.2017		06.2017			1 + Annex	07/05/2018
06.2017	Cross country report (UK)						05.2018		3	07/05/2018
11.2017	5 productions for a 30 hours-course for service providers (ES - FR - IT - MT - UK)			11.2017					6	20/08/2018
Not in the application	Profiles of Countries of Origin (all 6 partners)			02.2018					4	24/07/2018
Oct. - Dec 2017	6 x 2 meetings to professionals involved in topic				10.2017 11.2017					
Not in the application	Summary and report about technical meetings with professionals			04.2018						
12.2017	3 x 150 hours-course for ethnocultural agent (DE-IT-MT)	05.2018								
03.2018	3 x 2 hours of prevention activity (EN - ES - FR)									
04.2018	3 x 3 story telling = 9 story telling (DE - IT - MT)									
Not in the application	Video to support prevention activities				04.2018				5	16/07/2018
04.2018	Brochure to be translated in national languages		07.2018	07.2018			06.2018			
04.2018	One 20 page report on the best approach to adopt in intervention with women victims of GBV									
12-13.04.2018	Interviews of each partner (DE - ES - FR - IT - MT - UK)	All done during Transnational meeting in Sevilla							2	09/05/2018
End of June 2018	6 European ending videoconferences	November 13, 2018								
12.2017 (MT) - 06.2017 (IT) - 04.2018 (ES) - 09.2018 (DE)	Minutes of the transnational meetings by coordinating org.									
Permanent	SKYPE Minutes by coordinating org. (MT)									
12.2017 (MT) - 06.2017 (IT) - 04.2018 (ES) - 09.2018 (DE)	Documentation to prepare for 4 CAPTIVE seminars by coordinating org. (MT)									

Expected date to submit works to project coordinator as planned in the application	Tasks to be assessed	Actual dates of delivery of documents						Senior expert / MQ	
		DE	ES	FR	IT	MT	UK	Expert Note n°	Date
12.2017 (MT) - 06.2017 (IT) - 04.2018 (ES) - 09.2018 (DE)	Partners presentations for/at CAPTIVE seminars (MT - IT - ES - DE)								
August - Nov 2018	One European Associations made up of professionals and Ethnocultural Agents involved in the project								
August - Nov 2018	One protocol cc. European Association - 20 pages – paper and file format – English								
August - Nov 2018	6 protocol (translations)- 20 pages - paper and file format –national language								
Permanent	Crossed analysis on Evaluations developed by junior expert								
Permanent	Digital work platform								
nov-18	General evaluation report with strengths, weaknesses, recommendations				MQ				



And what about the following elements ?

Oct. – Dec. 2017	6 x 2 meetings to professionals involved in topic
Not in the application	Summary and report about technical meetings with professionals
12.2017	3 x 150 hours-course for Ethno-Cultural Agent (DE – IT - MT)
03.2018	3 x 2 hours of prevention activity (ES – FR - UK)
04.2018	3 x 3 story telling = 9 story telling (DE - IT - MT)
04.2018	Brochure to be translated in national languages

Unfortunately, the evaluator didn't received elements for the evaluation.

Other questions : What about the taken into account of the different note of the expert ? Have they been sent to all countries by the coordinating organization ? What were feedbacks? It would have been interesting to receive questions or remarks in case they don't agree with some points, or to see the new propositions, if they did some.

Expert note n° 8 : [Website](#)

A first vesion of the website brought many discussions and the evaluator proposed to reorganise it with a professionnall webdesigner. Some specific indication were given in the note.

The important question was if the partners did realise an ergonomic tree of the website.

The important elements could be : The SOS, the course, the professionnals brochures from different countrnies, etc...

In September, the website was not yet accessible by google, nor in November.

The website will be a good support when the elements will be completed, and the first page more attractive with percussive visual, as the theme.



Expert note n° 9 : Storytelling

DE, IT and MT were concerned by this expected production.

Globally, conclusions are :

Proposition for the storytelling

As Maltese partner explained « instead of doing 3 full days of storytelling - which was not allowed by open centres - we have joined a local NGO in visiting open centres every week. During our visits we organise women's circles. The main methodology employed is storytelling, meaning we have a topic of discussion, but employ a very loose structure and give space to individual narratives. During many sessions women open up about a variety of experiences related to violence along the way or even hardships in Malta. Sometimes (very often) practical concerns take precedence. These are group sessions, so it is unlikely that a person's story from departure to arrival will be reported in the notes. We work around themes and try to give everybody space. (...) »

It is possible to create storytellings with the elements from the circle. It must be explained that it is a reconstitution of storytelling.

If there is no more storytelling, please explain the obstacle and reason of not producing them.

In all the elements which did appear in the first report, many interviews were mentioned, so why the partner didn't use these interviews ? They have been realised with confidentiality respect. So you have to realise a work of cleaning the interviews and change the form for the norm of anonymous.



Action note n° 10 : 150-hour courses to train Ethno-Cultural Agent

DE, IT and MT were concerned by this expected production.

Propositions

The elements sent by German and Maltese partners for the evaluation are complete. It's possible to have a good vision of the contents and its quality, but also the tools proposed to the beneficiaries.

In any of the 3 countries, lists of attendance of the beneficiaries/trainers were not produced to the evaluator.

Global Propositions

It could have been interesting for all the countries to share the elements and to have a reflexion about the readability of trainings for sharing to others.

It could had been interesting to organise before items for giving a readability of the work.

The evaluator did think she will have access to the 150hour-course for the evaluation.

Many hours of evaluation were planned, so we did organise the global evaluation to concentrate hours particulary on this part. The contents did not represent what we did expect because of this important number of hours that were originally planned from the initial application.

The communication to collect data did take a lot of time and was not so easy.



Timing of the evaluation

The senior expert, for her own tasks and needs, asked to French partner to produce a chronogramme about CAPTIVE actions and steps of the programme on elements that will have to be evaluated. First version of this document was realized by French partner on January 31, 2018 then updated by the Maltese coordinator who finally validated it.

Chronogramme des différentes évaluations CAPTIVE



Application n° JUST/2015/RDAP/AG/VICT/9243

Senior Expert



2018.01.31

Projet CAPTIVE

PARTNERS		PARTNERS/ MQ	MQ
Expected date to submit works to project coordinator	Effective date of submission	Works to be assessed	Dates to submit evaluation reports
06.2017	12.2017	6 national reports (DE - ES - FR - IT - MT - UK)	
06.2017	02.2018	one crossed analysis of national report (UK)	
11.2017	04.2018	5 productions for a 30 hours-course for service providers (ES - FR - IT - MT - UK) including Profiles of Countries of Origin (all 6 partners)	
Oct. - Dec 2017	03.2018	6 x 2 meetings to professionals involved in topic	
12.2017	03.2018	3 x 150 hours-course for ethnocultural agent (DE-IT-MT)	
03.2018		3 x 2 hours of prevention activity (all 6 partners)	
04.2018		3 x 3 story telling (DE - IT - MT)	
04.2018		Brochure	
04.2018		one 20 page report on the best approach to adopt in intervention with women victims of GBV	
12-13.04.2018 (ES)		Interviews of each partner (DE - ES - FR - IT - MT - UK)	
End of June 2018		6 European ending videoconferences	
12.2017 (MT) - 06.2017 (IT) - 04.2018 (ES) - ??.???? (DE)		Minutes of the transnational meetings by coordinating org. (MT)	
Permanent		SKYPE Minutes by coordinating org. (MT)	
12.2017 (MT) - 06.2017 (IT) - 04.2018 (ES) - ??.???? (DE)		Documentation to prepare 4 CAPTIVE seminars by coordinating org. (MT)	
12.2017 (MT) - 06.2017 (IT) - 04.2018 (ES) - ??.???? (DE)		Partners presentations for/at CAPTIVE seminars (MT - IT - ES - DE)	
August - Nov 2018		One European Associations made up of professionals and Ethno-cultural Agents involved in the project	
August - Nov 2018		One protocol cc. European Association - 20 pages – paper and file format – English	
August - Nov 2018		6 protocol (translations)- 20 pages - paper and file format –national language	
Permanent		Crossed analysis on Evaluations developed by junior expert	
Permanent		Digital work platform	
		General evaluation report with strengths, weaknesses, recommendations	11.2018



November 2018: hereunder is the version dated Nov. 26, 2018 of the chronogramme filled by Maltese coordinating organization and French partner.



CAPTIVE/JUST/2015/RDAP/AG/VICT/9243



Chronogram of CAPTIVE evaluations

V2018.11.26

Expected date to submit works to project coordinator as planned in the application	Tasks to be assessed	Actual dates of delivery of documents						Explanations on REASONS when gaps <i>(Thanks to mention the acronym of your country when starting)</i>	Senior expert / MQ	
		DE	ES	FR	IT	MT	UK		Expert Note n°	Date
06.2017	6 national reports (DE - ES - FR - IT - MT - UK)	05.2017		06.2017		06.2017			1 + Annex	07/05/2018
06.2017	Cross country report (UK)						05.2018		3	07/05/2018
11.2017	5 productions for a 30 hours-course for service providers (ES - FR - IT - MT - UK)			11.2017					6	20/06/2018
Not in the application	Profiles of Countries of Origin (all 6 partners)			02.2018					4	24/07/2018
Oct. - Dec 2017	6 x 2 technical meetings to professionals from service providers			10.2017 11.2017						
Not in the application	Summary and report about technical meetings with professionals			04.2018		06.2018				
12.2017	3 x 150 hours-course for ethnocultural agent (DE-IT-MT)	05.2018				10.2018			10	21/11/2018
03.2018	3 x 2 hours of prevention activity (EN - ES - FR)			11.2018						
04.2018	3 x 3 story telling = 9 story telling (DE - IT - MT)	04.2018				11.2018			9	03/11/2018
Not in the application	Video to support prevention activities				04.2018				5	16/07/2018
04.2018	Flyer to be translated in national languages		07.2018	07.2018			04.2018			
06.10.2018	National contributions to CAPTIVE e-manual			09.2018						
04.2018	One 20 page report on the best approach to adopt in intervention with women victims of GBV					11.2018				
12-13.04.2018	Interviews of each partner (DE - ES - FR - IT - MT - UK)	All done during transnational meeting in Sevilla							2	09/05/2018
13.11.2018	6 European ending videoconferences	13.11.18	13.11.18	(X)	13.11.18	13.11.18	13.11.18	(X) FR did not receive positive answers from MSF, Médecins du Monde, SOS Méditerranée to have speakers for videoconference		
12.2017 (MT) - 06.2017 (IT) - 04.2018 (ES) - 09.2018 (DE)	Minutes of the transnational meetings by coordinating org.					Done after each TN				
Permanent	SKYPE Minutes by coordinating org. (MT)					Done after each SKYPE			7	17/11/2018

Expected date to submit works to project coordinator as planned in the application	Tasks to be assessed	Actual dates of delivery of documents							Senior expert / MQ		
		DE	ES	FR	IT	MT	UK		Expert Note n°	Date	
12.2017 (MT) - 06.2017 (IT) - 04.2018 (ES) - 09.2018 (DE)	Documentation to prepare for 4 CAPTIVE seminars by coordinating org. (MT)					Done before each TN					
12.2017 (MT) - 06.2017 (IT) - 04.2018 (ES) - 09.2018 (DE)	Partners presentations for/at CAPTIVE seminars (MT - IT - ES - DE)	Done when necessary/expected									
August - Nov 2018	One European Associations made up of professionals and Ethnocultural Agents involved in the project					11.2018					
August - Nov 2018	One protocol cc. European Association - 20 pages – paper and file format – English					11.2018					
August - Nov 2018	6 protocol (translations) - 20 pages - paper and file format - national language										
Permanent	Crossed analysis on Evaluations developed by junior expert			11.2018							
Permanent	Digital work platform			11.2018					8	29/09/2018	
11.2018	Synthesis of individual results			11.2018							
11.2018	General evaluation report with strengths, weaknesses, recommendations			11.2018					11	26/11/2018	



- The senior expert organized the evaluation according to the timing of the reception of the documents by country, transmitted by the French partner. The rhythm of sending expert notes was constrained by this timing.
- The hierarchical organization (Country partners – Project coordinator - French local coordinator - Senior expert) has induced a fairly long rhythm that could be heavy at level of „French coordination” and „Project coordination”. It added time constraints. For the countries, it could give some disparity in the access of the elements asked for the evaluation.
- Even if all expert notes are downloadable from CAPTIVE website, the hierarchical project organization didn't help to allow feedback from partners on the expert notes and in fact did not result in any exchange around the proposals. The evaluator had no idea if recommendations and proposals were taken into account.
- The comprehension of the organization of the elements, of the methodology, could have been more easy with a direct contact with all the partners, both for evaluator and for partners.
- The proposition would be to organize a communication directly with all the partners
- The projection of the evaluation work wasn't good. More than 100 hours were unused that constitutes a big gap.

SUMMARY about The expert senior evaluation work

- six national studies realised by each partner of the project and a transversal analysis done by UK partner

Elements received. Evaluation done (Expert notes n° 1 and 3).

- e-book titled « Countries of origin profiles » (all partners)

E-book is downloadable from website. Evaluation done (Expert note n° 4)

- two local meetings with professionals from service providers realised by each partner

Only some documents are downloadable from website – No evaluation



- Contents of an on-line course (30 hours) for professionals
Elements received. Evaluation done (Expert note n° 6).
- Nine storytelling (women victims of violence) realised by 3 partners
Elements partially received. Evaluation done (Expert notes n° 5 and n° 9).
- Contents of three courses of 150 hours developed for the training of Ethno-Cultural Agent.
Elements partially received. Evaluation done (Expert note n° 10).
- Three public interventions (each one for 2 hours) for the prevention of violence against women (Actions to be realised by ES – FR - UK)
Only some documents are downloadable from website. No evaluation
- Six conferences on November 13, 2018 with a shared videoconference.
No element received. No evaluation. The senior expert was not invited.

Added elements asked

- Evaluation of SKYPE meetings
Elements are downloadable from website – Evaluation done (Expert note n° 7)
- Evaluations realised by the junior expert (evaluations on progress and sustainability)
Documents and presentations are downloadable from website – No evaluation
- Minutes and Action notes after meetings issued by the project coordinator (MT)
Reports are downloadable from website - Used for the evaluations
- Documents to prepare transnational seminars and presentations by partners
Transmitted : used for the global comprehension and the evaluation (Sevilla / Expert note n° 2)
- Website <https://captive.euro-cides.eu/>
Evaluator had access to public and private areas. Evaluation done (Expert note n° 8)



In conclusion, this project was a very interesting partners collaboration work. Specifically the 30hour-Online course that constitutes a very interesting content for professionals and nonprofesionals, because of the contents and as a public tool.

These last weeks, project time schedule seems to have been a bit short to perfect some details due to a mass of information arriving late with sometimes extended delays of transmission.