CAPTIVE Project

SENIOR EXPERT NOTE 2

Observations- meeting in Sevilla

1- Interviews with the expert of each country

The first part of the expertise have been done from the reports of each country. It was important to meet the members of the teams and have a presentation of their professionnal profil.

The second part of the expertise is based on exchanges with team members. The objectif was to meet the members of the teams, to understand the particularity of the teams, to present the propositions based on the countries reports and discuss about this propositions.

Before this meeting, there was no support for information on the profile of the team members, nor on the structures of ownership.

It have been the opportunity to understand the origine of each member, of the structure, the networks for the research,...

A proposal was made to the teams to be interviews together :

- **The Malta and Italia teams** were interviewed together. One Member of the Italian team, Anna Robino, did the interview by skype.

They explain the specificity of their groups, the process of work and present a work executed by the Italian team about the story telling.

They give the information of the work done by the groups for the lessons.

Recommandations for the story telling :

> ➢ To ask the question : what is the meaning of the introduction ?

The introduction is very long, and the viewer is bored. It need only a few secondes, not minutes.

> ➢ To ask the question : what is the meaning of the movie?

Anna explained the objectiv of the work : give a basis for exchanging ideas.

This support can be exploited with professionals during the prevention activities with a notice and exchange. However, the design and rythm of the film cannot be adapted to the general public. The Italian team proposed to work again on the support.

The recommendations were proposed, particulary the presentation of the structure, the members of the team, the social and professional network , and the practical guide. They validated these points.

- **Spanish team**

    They made a detailed presentation of their team, and validated also the points of the recommandations.

- **German Team**

    The interview has been done during the long wait of the taxi in the morning. We had more than 45 mn for the discussion. They gived a detailed presentation of their structure, and the particularity of each member.
    The recommandations have been exposed in the collective meeting. Many of the element of the recommandations was done by this country. They validated the points of the recommandations.

- **English team**

    The presentation of the team of England have been done in the informal moments, and in the meeting. The recommandations, as for Germany, were exposed before the programming meeting, so we decided together that it was not necessary to have a special meeting.
    The specificly information of the project and the training program was collected with Malta.

- **French Team**

    Many premilinary exchanges at the Sevilla meeting were realized. An additional meeting has been scheduled on the Saturday morning for a presentation of the website and the documents, their classifications and their access.

2- Participation on the Friday collective meeting

Only the Friday morning was scheduled for group work session, during the presence of the evaluator.

The recommandations of the evaluator were accepted by the teams of all the countries: harmonize the supports, for the reports, include a presentation of the teams and structures networks, a summary, table of contents, and some technical supports like in the Germany report.

The process of discussion between the membres of the team was well organised and efficient.

One point raised by England seems particulary important : the form and design of the supports// 1200 printed brochures.

In the context of digital and environmental preservation, 1 question must be asked : are 1200 printed brochures really adapted ?

The design of the supports need to be well adapted. Isn't it more important to acces the priority above ? it would be very important to design the support for a better reception of the messages.

Important question : who will use the digital plateform ?

If it is accessible to the public, it must be design by a profesionnal.

3- To have a clear objectif og the social networks and the digital plateform

The discussion about the phone number ont the digital plateform and the social networks meens that it willbe used by the public. But the form of the digital plateform is not compatible with this use.

Reminder of the recommandations for the countries reports :

For proposal, it could have been interesting to organise all the reports with a similar formal structure :

1) Title, with the indication of the institution (university or association) and name and fonction of the researchers, writers
2) Littel presentation of how is the institution, her work with the subject and the modality of contact they have (associations, government institutions, ...)
3) Summary
4) National context
5) Local context
6) Litterature review
7) Qualitative study (if done)
8) Global conclusion-abstract
9) Bibliography
10) Appendices with professionnal supports (information brochures, guidelines, ...)

In line with announced objectifs, some proposition :

- Germany give a proposition with the presentation of pratical guides. This proposition could be realised by all the countries and developped

All the different workd present the situation of the women and the complex professionnel offer, but no really tools pratical.

For the third and fourth point of your objectivs, the constitution of a pratical guides directory could be very interesting.It could be also interesting for the formations concerned by your subject.